

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/980,083 03/01/2002		3/01/2002	Ian Richard Joseph Bates	041618-0060 9636		
22204	7590	12/02/2005		EXAMINER		
NIXON PE	,		KING, BRADLEY T			
401 9TH ST SUITE 900	REEI, NV	V	. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20004-2128	3683	3683		

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/980,083	BATES, IAN RICHARD JOSEPH		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Bradley T. King	3683		

	Bradley T. King	3683						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 18 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complication following time periods: The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the following times the first the period for reply expire later the following times the first the first times the first the first times to be a first times the first times the first times to be a first times the first times to be a first times the first times to be a first times the first times to be a first times times to be a first times to be a first times to be a first times times times to be a first times to be a first times time	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in iance with 37 CFR 1.114. The replayed the final rejection. sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the sory MONTHS from the mailing date or	iffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ly must be filed within a final rejection, whichever the final rejection.	ence, which CFR 41.31; or one of the er is later. In no					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)		RST REPLY WAS FILE	MITHIN IMO					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expired a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.					
3. A The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);						
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 		, , ,	the issues for					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.						
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	21. See attached Notice of Non-C							
 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wvided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2 and 4-13</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a log of the date of filing a log of the date of the da	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence i	not be entered is necessary					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar. 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An evelencial of the evidence is entered.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).					
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ned.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)						
	·	Autol	65					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: the limitations directed towards the target pressure changing within the modes requires further search.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

11/30/65